

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/657,786

Applicant(s)

SUDDERTH ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph D. Anthony

Art Unit

1714

## All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Anthony.

(2) Aaron R. Ettelman.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview ~~did not result in resolution of all issues~~. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Ettelman to ask if a response was filed to the office action mailed 05/26/04. Mr. Ettelman told the examiner that a 3 month extension of time was filed with a request for a continuation application. As such, the present application has gone abandoned.